

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/858,299	05/15/2001	Zezhang Hou	AUD1P004C1	2952
22434	7590 02/09/2005		EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP P.O. BOX 70250			HARVEY, DIONNE	
	CA 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2643	-
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Office Action Summary The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address— Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 3 of En 1 136(b). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filed after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If No period to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period valley within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely. If No period to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period valley and valle agrees (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If No period to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period valley and valled agrees (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If No period to reply is specified to reply well, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C.§ 133). Any reply received by the difficient than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if transly filed, may reduce any search patient item edipatinent. See 37 CFR 1.764(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on
Examiner Dionne N Harvey - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ederators of time map be available under the provisions of 1750 cm. Ederators of time map be available under the provisions of 1750 cm. If the period for reply specified above is least than thirty (30) days, a reply be timely filed If the period for reply specified above is least than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is least than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is least than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is least than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is least than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is least than thirty (30) days, and the considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is least than thirty (30) days, and septiments. Sea 37 CFR 1.704(s). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on
Dionne N Harvey 2643 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 1 Ederalizes of time may be wastable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.18(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filled after SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 1 If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will optic SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 1 If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will optic SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 1 Plants for one standard period for reply with the sate of this communication becomes AMOLONED 26t JC Cs. \$130. 1 Plants and the standard period for reply with the sate of this communication, even it limbly flict, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1 Plants action is FINAL. 2 Di This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 2 Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8.24 and 25 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 1-39 is/are allowed. 5 Claim(s) 1-79-23 and 26-36 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 1-79-23 and 26-36 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 1-79-23 and 26-36 is/are rejected. 8 Claim(s) 1-79-23 and 26-36 is/are rejected. 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eatensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 510, (b) MONTH5 from the mailing date of this communication. Fill Mo period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 51% (b) MONTH5 from the communication. Failure to reply within the sate or evadende period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANCONED (36 U.S. C, § 139). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any carmed point term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) This action is non-final. 31) Responsive to communication(s) filed on
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply specified above, it be than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, with the communication of thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and the second statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and the second statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(e). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply whithin the set or extended period for reply will, by attue, cause the application to become ARANDONED SU J.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). Status 1)
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8.24 and 25 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 37-39 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,9-23 and 26-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8.24 and 25 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 37-39 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,9-23 and 26-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8.24 and 25 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 37-39 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,9-23 and 26-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8.24 and 25 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 37-39 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,9-23 and 26-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8.24 and 25 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 37-39 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-7,9-23 and 26-36 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8.24 and 25 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 37-39 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-7,9-23 and 26-36 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
6) Claim(s) 1-7,9-23 and 26-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsuo (US 6,757,394).

Regarding claim 1, **shown in figure 27** and in **column 2, lines 5-8** wherein Matsuo discusses conventional microphone arrays, Matsuo teaches an adaptive directional sound processing system, comprising at least two microphones **2701, 2702**; a subtraction circuit **2704**; a delay circuit **2703** and a delay amount determination circuit **2705**.

Regarding claim 2-5, in **column 2, lines 1-5**, Matsuo teaches that the adaptive delay amount varies so as to suppress undesired sound (**see column 3, lines 43-53**).

Regarding claim 6, Matsuo teaches that the said device is used for the purpose of suppressing noise and thereby aiding in hearing a desired audio signal. For this reason, **figure 27** is interpreted as reading on "a hearing aid device", as broadly claimed.

Art Unit: 2643

2. Claims **7,9-23 and 26-36** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Christensen (US 4,131,760).**

Regarding claims 7 and 22, Christensen teaches an adaptive sound processing system comprising at least two microphones (101,110); a delay circuit (114); a logic circuit (121); a delay amount determination circuit (elements 141,143 and 114 function to determine the degree of delay; also see column 6, line 53 - column 7, line 10); and in column 7, lines 48-49, Christensen teaches that echo signals are not in phase with the direct path signals, thereby directionally suppressing undesired sound.

Regarding claims 9-11, Christensen teaches that the delay amount varies to suppress undesired sound, minimize energy of the output signal (143) and maximize SNR.

Regarding claim 12, Christensen teaches that the adaptive sound processing system resides within any audio system device including telephones and other audio communications i.e., hearing aids, as claimed.

Regarding claim 13, Christensen teaches that the adaptive delay amount is added to the previously determined adaptive delay amount (see output of delay element 114 which is added to the initial signal for creation of a new control signal via 121,141,143).

Regarding claim 14, Christensen teaches that the delay is determined based on a change in energy on the output signal (141,143; also see column 6, line 53 - column 7, line 10).

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claims 15 and 16, Christensen teaches that the two possible delay increments are the previous delay increment (decrease of delay) or an inverse previous delay increment (increase of delay), as broadly claimed.

Regarding claims 17 and 35, Christensen appears to teach that the delay increment is determined by multiplying a previous delay increment by the change in energy of the signal, as claimed.

Regarding claim 18, Christensen teaches scaling i.e., increasing or decreasing the change in energy on the output signal (via 143), as broadly claimed.

Regarding claim 19, Christensen teaches that the delay determined comprises an energy estimator and a delay generator, which generates a delay based upon the energy estimate (141,143; also see column 6, line 53 - column 7, line 10).

Regarding claim 20, Christensen appears to teach that said energy estimator operates at a first sampling rate and said delay generator operates at a second sampling rate, the first sampling rate being greater than the second sampling rate, and wherein down sampling is preformed between said energy estimator and said delay generator to accommodate difference in the first and second sampling rates.

Regarding claim 21, Christensen appears to teach that said energy estimator uses a first time constant and said delay generator uses a second time constant, the first time constant being faster that the second time constant.

Regarding claims 23 and 27, Christensen inherently teaches the methods of claims 23 and 27 by the apparatus of claims 7 and 22; and further teaches inducing the delay amount **114** on at least one of the first and second sound signals; and in **column**

Application/Control Number: 09/858,299 Page 5

Art Unit: 2643

7, lines 48-49, Christensen teaches that echo signals are not in phase with the direct path signals, thereby directionally suppressing undesired noise, as claimed.

Regarding claim 26, Christensen teaches that the adaptive sound processing system resides within any audio system device including telephones and other audio communications i.e., hearing aids, as claimed.

Regarding claims 28 and 29, Christensen teaches that the adaptation operates so to as to minimize energy of the output signal (143) and maximize SNR.

Regarding claims 30 and 31, Christensen teaches that combining comprises adding or subtracting (121) the first microphone output and the delayed second microphone output, as is well understood in the art.

Regarding claim 32, Christensen teaches that the delay is determined based on a change in energy on the output signal (114 operates according to the change of energy supplied by element 143; also see column 6, line 53 - column 7, line 10).

Regarding claims 33 and 34, Christensen teaches that the two possible delay increments are the previous delay increment (decrease of delay) or an inverse previous delay increment (increase of delay), as broadly claimed.

Regarding claim 36, Christensen teaches scaling i.e., increasing or decreasing the a change in energy on the output signal (via 143), as broadly claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 37-39 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2643

4. Applicant's arguments filed 09/27/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 6

5. In response to applicant's argument that: <u>The Controllable Delay In Christensen</u>
Et Al. Is Not Altered For The Purpose Of Directionally Suppressing Undesired Sound.

A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

6. Regarding the Applicant's argument that: The Multiple Microphone

Dereverbation System Of Christensen Et Al. Is Not A Directional Sound Processing

System.

As more clearly stated in the rejection of claims 7, 22,23 and 27, above, and in **column 7, lines 48-49**, Christensen teaches that echo signals are not in phase with the summed direct path signals. Since the desired audio signal is enhanced over a noise signal, Christensen's disclosure is fairly interpreted as constituting a directional sound processing system. The Examiner's rejection is therefore, maintained.

Art Unit: 2643

Conclusion

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled □Comments on Statements for Allowance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne Harvey whose telephone number is (703) 305-1111. The examiner can normally be reaches on Monday through Friday from 8:30am to 6:00pm.

Any responses to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306, for formal communications for entry

Or:

(703) 308-6296, for informal or draft communications, please label PROPOSED or DRAFT.

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor(Receptionist)

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis

Kuntz, can be reached at (703) 305-4708.

Art Unit: 2643

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Dionne Harvey whose telephone number is (703)

305-1111.

D.H.

February 3, 2005

COMITS ROUTE T SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600